

### Gastroenterology Institute, Sheba Medical Center, Ramat Gan, Israel Dr. Bella Ungar & Zohar Ben-Shatach

# Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in IBD



שיבא - מרכז רפואי אקדמי מצטיין

### Gastroenterology Institute, Sheba Medical Center, Ramat Gan, Israel Dr. Bella Ungar & Zohar Ben-Shatach

### What are we going to talk about?

What is **therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM)**?

TDM in anti-TNFα (infliximab, golimumab) therapy

**Open questions** 

Take home messages

TDM in vedolizumab therapy



### Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM)

A branch of clinical pharmacology, specializes in measurement of medication concentrations

Focuses on drugs with narrow therapeutic window

*Improving patient care by adjusting the dose for which clinical experience / trials have shown improved outcome* 

Marshall et al, Clinical Chemistry, 2008

### Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM)

### **Drug concentration data influenced by:**

10

- Age, Gender, Weight
- **Clinical status**
- **Co-medications** patient

drug

- Timing
- Route Dose
- Analytical method
- Anti-drug antibodies

## **Immunogenic LOR to anti-TNFs**



Ungar, Gut, 2014

How to address different mechanisms of LOR?

### **IBD-related inflammation?**



TDM in anti-TNFα therapy – infliximab & adalimumab

*Minimal infliximab trough level* for clinical / CRP / endoscopic remission: **3-7 μg/ml** 

Anti-infliximab-antibodies >>>> lower drug levels, worse outcome

Drawbacks: different assays, endpoints, IBD/CD/UC

\* Undetectable drug levels in patients with longterm remission predict successful withdrawal

Adedokun, Gastroenterology2014 | Levesque, APT, 2014 | Yanai, CGH, 2015 | Papamichael, CGH, 2016 | Ben-horin, APT, 2015 | Vande Casteele, Gut, 2015

## Antibodies & LOR occur mostly during 1<sup>st</sup> year of therapy



Karmiris, GE, 2009



Karmiris, GE, 2009







Drug level (µg/ml)

Ungar et al, CGH, 2016

## So...how to treat LOR?



### **Reactive TDM - Infliximab & Adalimumab**



### **Transient versus sustained Ab**



Vande Casteele, AJG, 2013

### **Reactive TDM - Infliximab & Adalimumab**



#### Addition of an Immunomodulator to Infliximab Therapy Eliminates Antidrug Antibodies in Serum and Restores Clinical Response of Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease

SHOMRON BEN-HORIN,\* MATTI WATERMAN,<sup>‡</sup> URI KOPYLOV,\* MIRI YAVZORI,\* ORIT PICARD,\* ELLA FUDIM,\* HALIM AWADIE,<sup>‡</sup> BATIA WEISS,\* and YEHUDA CHOWERS<sup>‡</sup>



# Proactive TDM vs. clinically based dosing

Low adalimumab and infliximab drug levels predict increased future risk of antidrug antibody development and active disease

TAXIT TAILORIX Additional trials Proactive approach – not clinically superior / cost - efficient?

Amiot, Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol. 2016 | Vaughn, IBDJ, 2014



## Our study- significance

- IFX- a monoclonal Ab
- LOR to IFX- 30% of IBD patients
- At time of LOR, lower IFX TL, in the absence of ATI, have been associated with the need for therapy escalation
- TL of 3-7µg/ml clinical therapeutic window.
- It is still unclear above which TL further increasing the dose won't be beneficial

## Our aim

- To evaluate the impact of TL on therapeutic efficacy of dose intensification in IBD patients experiencing LOR to infliximab in the absence of ATI.
- To define which infliximab levels correlate best with dose intensification- what is the cutoff?



## Clinical remission assessment

Harvey-Bradshaw Index (HBI) remission < 5 mild disease 5–7 moderate disease 8–16 severe disease > 16	general well-being	very well = 0 points slightly below = 1 point poor = 2 points very poor = 3 points terrible = 4 points		Tab. 3. Simple Tab. 3. Jednod Simple Clinical Colitis Activity	Linical Colitis Activity Index (SCCAI).         chý klinický index aktivity kolitidy (SCCAI).         bowel frequency       0–3 = 0 points         (number of stools       4–6 = 1 point		
	abdominal pain	none = 0 points mild = 1 point moderate = 2 points severe = 3 points		Index (SCCAI)	per day)	> 10 = 3 points	
					number of stools per night	0 = 0 points 1–3 = 1 point > 4 = 2 points	
	number of liquid stools				urgency of stool	none = 0 points hurry = 1 point immediately = 2 points incontinence = 3 points	
	abdominal mass	none = 0 points dubious = 1 point definite = 2 points definite and tender = 3 points					
			1 point each		blood in stool	none = 0 points trace = 1 point occasionally (< 50% of stool) usually (> 50% of stool)	= 2 points
	complications	none arthralgia uveitis erythema nodosum aphthous ulcers pyoderma gangrenosum anal fissure new fistula abscess			general well-being	very well = 0 points slightly below = 1 point poor = 2 points very poor = 3 points terrible = 4 points	
					extracolonic features	arthritis uveitis erythema nodosum pyoderma gangrenosum	1 point each

 Clinical remission was defined as HBI <5 for CD</li> patients and SCCAI≤ 3 for UC patients

## Results

 ROC- Infliximab pre-escalation trough levels <4.8µg/ml were found to be optimal for dose intensification, for clinical remission at 6 months (AUC=0.77, p=0.0001, 91% sensitivity, 66% specificity) and at 12 months (AUC=0.74, p=0.001, 83% sensitivity, 58% specificity).



## Results (cont.)

 Multivariable analysis – showed that only infliximab TL predicted both 6 and 12 months clinical remission e.g. age, disease duration and location, concurrent use of immunosupressors. 
 Table 2a - Clinical and demographic parameters among patients who reached clinical remission 6 months post intensification versus those who did not

	Clinical remission at 6 months						
Variable	Univariate	Multivariable					
	OR (CI)/ Median (IQR)	P value	P value				
TL upon LOR	4.73(3.6-8), 8.69(5.3-16)	0.005	0.0037				
CD	1.4(0.4-4.9)	0.59					
Male, female ratio	0.86(0.27-2.73)	0.8					
Age at diagnosis	25(20.5-30), 21.5(20-31)	0.58					
Age at intensification	34(27.75-47.5), 45(30.75-51.5)	0.36					
Disease duration at	5.5(3-10), 10(4-16)	0.09	0.57				
induction, years							
Infliximab therapy	3(1.75-4), 2(1.25-6)	0.83					
duration at							
intensification							
Median weight (kg)	72(57.5-82), 65(58.2-71.2)	0.17					
Smoking	0.43(0.07-2.6)	0.36	0.24				
Previous biological	2.21(0.58-8.4)	0.23	0.5				
therapy							
Previous surgery	0.66(0.18-2.34)	0.52	0.72				
<b>Combination therapy</b>	0.96(0.3-3)	0.95	0.76				
with an							
immunomodulatory							
Dose escalation*	0.9(0.22-3.6)	0.88					

CD - Crohn's disease, Vs. - Versus, LOR - Loss of response, TL - Trough levels, OR - Odds ratio, CI - Confidence interval, IQR - Interquartile range.

\* Versus intervals shortening

## Summary

- Therapeutic drug monitoring assists us in selection of the optimal intervention for each specific patient.
- Non immunogenic LOR to infliximab in IBD patients was associated with the need for dose increase when infliximab levels were below 4.8µg/ml
- The only factor significantly associated with successful intensification was lower infliximab TL.

### What is our goal?

Clinical / endoscopic / histologic remission? Deep remission?

Therapeutic Drug Monitoring, Mucosal Healing, Deep Remission: The Path to Nirvana in Crohn's Disease?





### **Golden rules for successful TDM**

- Timing trough / in between (adalimumab / golimumab? Ustekinumab?)
- Time-point of measurement (induction / maintenance, acute severe colitis); Compare to baseline
- What is the patient's clinical status? Inflammatory markers?
- Patient adherence
- Wait for "steady state" after therapy escalation / de-escalation (4-14 weeks)
- Address anti-drug-antibodies (transient?)



Mitrev & Leong, Expet Opinion j., 2016

### **Take Home Messages**

- ~30% develop LOR
- First ask: is LOR due to IBD related inflammation / other causes?
- For anti-TNFs: if immunogenic LOR
   → switch within class / add
   immunomodulator
- For other biologics: higher levels associated with improved outcome, but affect probably not robust.
   Minor significance of immunogenicity



Take Home Messages

## Thank You!!!



## bella.geyshis.ungar@gmail.com